

Computational Methods for Quantum Many-Body Physics

Sthitadhi Roy^1 and Arnab Sen^2 June 3, 2023

¹ICTS-TIFR, Bengaluru ²IACS, Kolkata

Lecture 3

• Exact diagonalisation for spin systems

- Constructing the basis states
- Encoding them as binary strings
- Efficient ways of tabulating them so that they are easy to look up [Lin Tables]
- Implementing U(1) symmetry
- constructing the Hamiltonian

• Exact diagonalisation for spin systems

- Constructing the basis states
- Encoding them as binary strings
- Efficient ways of tabulating them so that they are easy to look up [Lin Tables]
- Implementing U(1) symmetry
- constructing the Hamiltonian
- Sparsity of Hamiltonian matrix: representing the matrices as sparse matrices; CSR, COO formats
- Efficient sparse matrix-vector multiplication

• Exact diagonalisation for spin systems

- Constructing the basis states
- Encoding them as binary strings
- Efficient ways of tabulating them so that they are easy to look up [Lin Tables]
- Implementing U(1) symmetry
- constructing the Hamiltonian
- Sparsity of Hamiltonian matrix: representing the matrices as sparse matrices; CSR, COO formats
- Efficient sparse matrix-vector multiplication
- Lanczos algorithm for exact diagonalisation
 - eigenvalues/eigenvectors near the extremities of the spectrum
 - diagonalise within a truncated Krylov subspace
 - useful for ground states and low excited states

Target eigenvalues/eigenvectors at arbitrary energy densities in the spectrum

- Shift-invert ED
- Polynomially filtered ED

References

- Kernel Polynomial Methods [arXiv:cond-mat/0504627v2]
- Shift-invert [arXiv:1803.05395]
- POLFED [arXiv:2005.09534]

When does Lanczos work best?

- target eigenvalues are near the extremities of the spectrum
- eigenvalues near the target are well separated from each other
- density of states is very low near the target

How to target eigenvalues near the middle of the spectrum?

- not the extremities of the spectrum
- density of states very high



XXZ chain with L = 14 in $S^z = 0$ sector

Transforming the Hamiltonian

Key idea:

- transform the Hamiltonian to move the target to the extremities
- transformation keeps the eigenvectors invariant



$$H
ightarrow (H - \sigma \mathbb{I})^2$$

- target moved to extremities of spectrum
- but density of states very high; Lanczos will take very long to converge

Shift-Invert Exact Diagonalisation

Key idea:

- transform the Hamiltonian to move the target to the extremities
- transformation keeps the eigenvectors invariant



$$H o (H - \sigma \mathbb{I})^{-1}$$

- target moved to extremities of spectrum
- density of states also low
- inverting a large matrix is computationally expensive
- Need to efficiently multiply

$$(H - \sigma \mathbb{I})^{-1} |\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle$$

Shift-Invert Exact Diagonalisation

• How to efficiently multiply

$$(H - \sigma \mathbb{I})^{-1} |\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle$$

- Given a $|\psi\rangle$ find the solution $|\phi\rangle$ to the system of equations

$$(H - \sigma \mathbb{I}) \ket{\phi} = \ket{\psi}$$

- Want to avoid inverting the matrix explicitly
- Key step: LU decomposition of $(H \sigma \mathbb{I})$

$$(H - \sigma \mathbb{I}) = \mathsf{P} \cdot \mathsf{L} \cdot \mathsf{U}$$

- P : permutation matrix
- L : lower triangular matrix
- U : upper triangular matrix

Two steps:

- perform the LU decomposition
- solve the system of equations of the form $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ using the LU decomposition

Lower triangular matrix

$$\mathsf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ l_{n1} & l_{n2} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Upper triangular matrix

	u_{11}	u_{12}	•••	u_{1n}
	0	<i>u</i> ₂₂	• • •	u_{2n}
U =	:	:	·	:
	0	0		u _{nn}

LU decomposition

Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting

- Gaussian elimination: using row operations to eliminate the lower triangular part
- $\bullet\,$ swapping rows of the matrix \Rightarrow necessitates the permutation matrix

$$A^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 & 7 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, we perform the row operations to eliminate the coefficients below the first entry in the first column:

Step 1: $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1$ Step 2: $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + R_1$

This yields:

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We repeat the process to eliminate the coefficients below the second entry in the second column:

Step 3:
$$R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 3R_2$$

This yields:

$$A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } L^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we have the upper triangular matrix U:

$$U = A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And the lower triangular matrix L:

$$L = L^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• We need to solve

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow L \ U \ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$

- Do it in two steps
 - define $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$ and solve $L\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}$
 - solve $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$
- Since *L* and *U* are lower and upper triangular matrices respectively, use forward and backward substitution to solve for **x**
- once we solve for x we have effectively implemented

 $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{y}$

Solving a system of equations using LU decomposition

• We need to solve

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow L \ U \ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$

- Do it in two steps
 - define $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$ and solve $L\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}$
 - solve $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$
- Since L and U are lower and upper triangular matrices respectively, use forward and backward substitution to solve for x
- once we solve for **x** we have effectively implemented

 $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{y}$

Solution for z using forward substitution

$$z_{1} = y_{1}$$

$$z_{2} = y_{2} - L_{21}z_{1}$$

$$z_{3} = y_{3} - L_{31}z_{1} - L_{32}z_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_n = y_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n} n - 1L_{nj}z_j$$

Solution for x using backward substitution

$$x_n = \frac{z_n}{U_{nn}}$$

$$x_{n-1} = \frac{z_{n-1} - U_{n-1,n}x_n}{U_{n-1,n-1}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_1 = \frac{z_1 - \sum_{j=2}^n U_{1j}x_j}{U_{n-1,n-1}}$$

- Given a Hamiltonian H and target eigenvalue σ
- Effectively do Lanczos ED on a transformed Hamiltonian

$$H
ightarrow (H - \sigma \mathbb{I})^{-1}$$

- need to efficiently multiply $(H \sigma I)^{-1}$ to vectors without losing sparsity or computing the inverse explicitly
 - LU decomposition of $(H \sigma \mathbb{I})^{-1}$
 - Use the LU to solve for $(H \sigma \mathbb{I})^{-1} |\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle$ and implement the inverse

Key idea: Transform the Hamiltonian using kernel polynomials which have a recursive structure

• Transformation

$$H \rightarrow P_{\sigma}^{K}(H) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{n=0}^{K} c_{n}^{\sigma} T_{n}(H)$$

where $T_n(x)$ is the n^{th} Chebyshev polynomial and

• the coefficients

$$c_n^{\sigma} = \sqrt{4 - 3\delta_{n0}} \cos(n \cos^{-1} \sigma)$$

- Coefficients obtained from expanding a Dirac-delta around centred at σ in Chebyshev polynomials
- Normalisation D ensures $P_{\sigma}(\sigma) = 1$
- the summation above can be computed efficiently using known recursion relations for Chebyshev polynomials

